Box. 2 - 40, R.S. Dod's Report on Corrections of Preliminary Survey in Block 1. 14 GN Ry Co in PECOS. COUNTY, which affects H. C. Noelke's J J Robison Filed nov. 18th, 1919 Carl F Bencher See Stitch # 12 in Occor Sk Files 377

counter 33722

Captain R. S. Dod, State Surveyor Alpine, Texas. Dear Sir:

Referring to your plat and report of a resurvey of various blocks of land on the Pecos river above Sheffield in Pecos County, beg to say that on account of the voluminous amount of work lately in the drafting department it has been impossible to give this matter the attention it demands until now.

It appears that you have made a careful and exhaustive survey of that territory and have found several original corners on the Prcos river in block No. 1, I&GNRYCO., also the NE corner of survey No. 43, block A 2 to the West of block 1, I note the excess between these original river corners, and that the position of the river bed as you now find it does not agree with the meanders or position of same as called for in the original field notes of survey in block 1, which makes it difficult to correctly construct the surveys along the river at many placesm and to overcome this difficulty you have constructed these river surveys by running their back lines. There would probably be no odjection to this method if it did not give to these surveys an excess E. and W. that they are not properly entitled to, for instance: from your statement it seems that the river bed between the NE corner of No. 37 and NE corner of 43 was never at any point further West that where you now find it. This being true, then it would appear to be error to give the surveys between these two corners excess E. and W. over their field note calls from the river. It occurs to me that the proper construction of the surveys along the river at this particular place would be to commence at the identified Ne corner of survey No. 37 and run out surveys numbers 535 and 536 in their order up the river according to their field notes andcorrect the John N. Swisher to conform to the North line of survey No. 536 thus established and the South line of survey No. 38, as fixed or identified by the rock mound found by you.

#2, R. S. Dod.

If you are sure of the correction of SE corner of said survey No. 38, as found by you, then surveys 38 to 43 should be given course and distance East and West, fixing their back lines according to the short line in field notes so as not to reduce their original acreage, and apportion the excess N. and S. equally, as indicated on your sketch, However, if there is any uncertainty as to the position or identity of the SE corner and South line of No. 38 then its South line should be fixed without excess N. and S. in said surveys South of the N.E. corner of No. 43, which would leave about the proper space or width of the J. M. Swisher survey between No. 38 and 536.

Owing to the uncertainty of the position of the channel or bed of river between the N.E. corner of No. 43 and N.E. conrer of No. 55, as stated by you, and the probability or possibility that it was further West at date of original location of this block than you now find it, I believe your construction of river surveys between these corners by their back lines is possibly the only solution and same is acceptable with the exception of survey No. 47, J. D. Swisher, just North of survey No. 542 to which you have given all the excess N. and S. found between the N.E. corner No. 43 and N.E. corner No. 55. It is true that survey NO. 47 was intended to take up the excess N. and S. in said block, and from that view your construction was based on a logical theory: but, by referring to the Act of 1889, page 104, brought forward in Art. 5396-7 & 8, R.S., 1911, we find that that Act appropriates all excess in surveys and blocks of surveys to the school surveys; therrfore, Sec. 542 being a school survey, with no fixed or marked corners on the ground, I am inclined to the opinion that survey No. 47 should be held to its field note call for 901 varas in which N. and S. and place the excess in school survey No. 542 according to said Act.

#3, R. S. Dod.

From the N.E. corner of survey No. 55 to N.E. corner No. 60, you show to have found 38 varas excess N. and S. to each survey and a total excess of 89 varas East and West. Your apportionment of excess of N. and S. I think is proper, but your construction of surveys between these two original comners by running their back lines in reverse order from the N.E. corner No. 60, throws all this error of excess E. and W. in the South line of survey No. 543, the S.E. corner of which is identical with the N.E. corner of survey No. 55, identified by you. This error may be eliminated by beginning at the S.E. corner of No. 543, and run West 3857 veras for its S.W. corner, asscalled for in the field notes, and then fix the back line of the surveys upward from this point to the N.W. corner of survey No. 59, then construct survey No. 60 from its N.E. corner as you have it. This construction will give each survey some excess, but will more nearly agree with the original calls for the river as you now find it at this place.

I note some excess E. and W. in river surveys No's. 62 and 65, which seems to be due to the changes in river bed at this point. Otherwise, your construction of river surveys from the N. E. corner of survey No. 60 upward appears to be in accordance with your former report and Retter from this office dated June 29, 1917.

Your construction of survey No. 3, Runnels County School Land, conflicts on the East with river surveys for which it calls, thereby making a shortage of about 158 acres. Said survey No. 3 should be made to conform to the lines of said river survey, giving it the same excess N. and S. down to the S.W. corner of survey No. 65. Then follow the calls in the field notes of No. 3 East 298 varas, South 162 varas and West to a point due South of place of beginning: thence North to place of beginning, etc. This would place the South line of No. 3 further South than you have it and will probably give it some excess acreage and will reduce the vacancy South of same, but I believe this is the proper construction to give same.

#4, R. S. Dod.

The field notes of survey No. 1, block A 2, call be begin at a stone mound 4 miles West and 1 mile North of the N.E. corner of survey No. 73, I. & G.N. RY. Co. on Pecos river. If this stone mound can not be identified at the point called for or at some other point, then the beginning corner should be fixed course and distance from the N.E. corner of said survey No. 73 as called for, which will close out the vacancy of 576 varas E. and W. shown on your plat along the back lines or river surveys 32 to 35. This excess may be adjusted or placed in survey No. 22, block A 2, and either No. 2 or No. 4, block 193, as the case may be, so as to cause the least disturbance with the improvements of the owners of the last named two surveys.

When the adjustments and corrections herein mentioned have been made, then corrected field notes of the scrap surveys made for Nolke, Holmes, Jessup & Yates should be furnished this office made to comform to such changes and corrections.

Yery truly yours, J. T. Robison

Clark, MWM

Commissioner.

CC to: Judge Chas. E. Davidson, Ozona, Texas.

> Mr. L. L' Farr, San Angelo, Texas.

Pecos SK FILE 40 Both 1305 6-7-8-9 Burn Co. Sto 7 Me 40

Commissioner of the General Land Office of the State of Texas, hereby certify that on the reverse hereof is a true and correct copy of the original of this instrument now on file in this office forether with all endorsements thereon.

IN TESTIMON WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and affix the seal of said office the any and the first above written. Commissioner of General Land Office. counter 33728

June 19, 1919. Cuptain R. S. Boc. Sunte Surveyor. Alpine, Jurid. Dear Siri Referring to your slet and report of a resurvey of various blooks of land on the Pecos river above Sheffield in Pecos Gounty, bog to cay that on account of the voluminous scount of the land to have been be possible to give this metter the attention it does not supply until new,

At appears that you have made a careful and exhaustive survey of that territory and have found several original corners on the Fraces river in block No. 1. isomrice, mise the did square of survey No. 43, block A 2 to the That of pleck he is note the character of the mass of the first the position of the mass river of most indictable is criminal vives corners, and that the position of the mass is first notes of surveys in block i, which makes it difficults to correctly construct the surveys along the river at many places, and to overfine this difficulty you have constructed those river universely remaining their hold lives, there would probably be no objection to this method if it did not five to these surveys an excess N, and N. that they are not properly entitled to, for instances from jour entitled to, for instances from jour entitled to, for instances from jour entitled to for instances from jour entitled to the inverted between the N corner of No. 37 and M corner of 43 was naver at may point further test than there you now fand the river, it common to be strong the surveys along the river at this particular of the surveys along the river at this particular places would be to common to the inverse to the first the course of the survey inc. 37 and run out surveys manders 135 and 536 in their rowder up the river according to their field notes and correct the John N. Seacher to conform to the North line of survey to, 36 thus established and the South line of survey to, 36, as fixed or identified by the rook mound found by you.

D

A note some 2, and 2, which some 2, and 2, an river currence to the to the to the to the some to be due to the character of the strength of the some the sour contents of the strength of the

the styer as you now find in an thin piece, will note mearly agree wath the original calls for attroction attr fitae same same same excess, pur conver of say "W. Corner as you have it, the con-smal stond and and then then the book blast one ma Test just rares for the S.S. corner, as celled for the near the tot to acros of he standed by you and the tine of mirray no. 263, the 6,8, country of mitch to tention to south verse order from bin his, carmer me, 60, throws -oa ur court doed eveny Surmune of Cantago leurs . tro our sands manufud agartes to noticutaneou that and , record at mates a . a base of second to preser, but to 3.8, corner Ho, 66, you ches, howe found 36, very so and contain the fall of the same of 59 your sportion on the same of 59 your sportion. rour apportance SC *DH .EKS.EM STATE STATE

*pog *g *g *£g

"goy pres of Bury Loop's set to durant footies his escous our sound per "to pres on abbin me nower see way first name bines and as bisn ed binode Te .on terms dens notates only at bentious me & bunness one me exempled southers on the grennd, & am animonat recommence. See set and account terester toward with the statement of the statement of the control of the state Tim sman, morning to A said sens built on FIRS 4.84.16 to y depaid that ut promote topmore the page took is and block, and from that view your censtrates to the Ace ne, of and man, a corner no, yy, at an true the har fe ,on no and a her man of the fe ,on no the control of the fe ,on the control of the fee o off the excess h, said 6, front between the state of person the encounted of salvey he, 67, 6, 1, detends you there signifies the form the contract of the decided and the contract of the affections at many bus solution, and some in glassich at available mendes these columns of their more prior to notionseemor two eretied 4 , 44 bath was not mend Month with to massacon innights to sans an dood an dood writerill and at anno tellistened to tellishers one bun tot to not the distance of ted of reverse behaves the de ted no to the on the party see the con on Butter,

The suppose of the control of the co

#3, N. S. Nuda.

trad of the must find the room found by John serspliched and the South line of curvey so, 30, as such age, on grains to entit figures of establishing as redetably such accordance and design that there es und whreson route only gu webre nearly at the han the gree -me elevine of comment in Tf and the very server se national add at namemon or to himy conferrence -article agent and and as one Busto affection our ga tiors against to courts to me this the angles outlessingcome of arm at case sport truck from the case of the was passage one smoot accept after my says as at sure pared pane, dien at could appear to us error and police for there been than thore you not that he. occupat of he, 37 and MG corner of 45 and uswar as mous is seems that they bed passuon in small property outlied to, for tentanest from your stateson ere fent tunt "a pur "s esecute us afeache coeff. or exter our pro of it postern exite or notto-fee on se gidedory binos staff . senial hore staff halanes ony of hon buas constances there are not any TITTE STIP DESIGNATION IN SING FRANCISCO STIPS STELLTour secontacts our tourisment the ourself of the "allth at somen doates , a mostd at secrete to sector BENES INTEGRED A. TO THE TAX YOU DULLED HE DESCRIPTION TO HOLDEN non ting it does not nexter each the meaning or ocnot as and thus, the position of the true bad as you with the language and a supply assumed as a supply as a supply as a supply of the supply as a supply of the supply as a supply as a supply as a supply of the supply as a supp Tourn several original corners on the bacos river as block he, 1, thurstoo, also the first of acreer of survey do, 43, block A 2 to the viest of block L. and enforcement of the territory and form Interes a shan (well not send excepte at

required of various to your alse and resort of a required of a required to the selection of the second on the selection of the second control of the second control of the selection of the selec

Contests H. S. Dod., States Surveyor, Alpens, reats, Dear Sire

"Stat "or owne

Original Sent to lamination 1-30-79 Laylele (See Laminated 10, File) R.S. Dod's Report on Corrections of Puliminary Survey in Block 1. 14GN Ry Co in PECOS. COUNTY, which affects H. C. Noelke's Filed now 18th, 1919 country 768 13

#3, R. S. Ded. to 5.5. corner No. 60. Jos short have Yound 36 varas second 25. to each savery and a total excess of 69 varas East and West. Your apportionment of excess 3, and 3. I think is proper, but your construction of surveys between these two original corners by running their back lines in reverse order from the 8.8. corner No. 60, throws all this error of excess 8. and 7. As the 7000% line of survey no. 243, the 3.8. corner of survey no. 25, identified by you. This error may be eliminated by beginning at the 5.3. corner of No. 543, and ris west 3857 vares for its 8.7. corner, as called for in the field notes, and then fix the book line of the surveys upward from this point to the 8.8. corner of surveys to the 8.8. corner of surveys upward from this point to the 8.8. corner of surveys upward from this point to the 8.8. corner of surveys upward from this point to the 8.8. corner of surveys upward from this point to the 8.8. corner of surveys upward from this point to the 8.8. corner of surveys upward from this point to the 8.8. corner of surveys upward from this point to the 8.8. corner of surveys upward from this point to the 8.8. corner of surveys upward from the general surveys upward from the special surveys upward from the special

60 from 165 N.S. corner he you have it. This con-struction will give each outway some excess, but

will more mearly agree with the criminal calls for the river as you now find it at this place.

I note some excess %, and W, in river surveys No's. 62 and 65, which seems to be due to the changes in river bed at this point. Otherwise, your construction of river surveys from the N.S. corner of survey No. 60 upward appears to be in accordance with your former report and letter from this office duted June 29, 1912 office dated June 29, 1917.

Tour construction of survey No. 7. Runnals County School Land, conflicts on the Mast with river surveys for which it edils, therapy making a shortage of about 196 more. Said purvey No. 7 that be made to conform to the times of end river survey. Siving it the same excess N. and S. down to the S.C. corner of survey No. 65. Num follow the calls in the field notes of No. 3 Nact 196 varue, South 162 varue and west to a point due South of place of heginning; thence north to place of beginning, etc. This would place the South line of No. 3 Number Nouth than you have it and with probably give it some among northing and will reduce the vacuumy South of some, but I believe this is the proper construction to give some.

#2, R. S. Ded.

corner of said survey so. 18, so found by you, then curveys 18 to 47 should be given course and distance that and these, faming their back lines according to the short line in field school so we not to reduce their original acress, and apportion his excess at and 5. equally, as informer and South the post-tion or identity of the St corner and South line of so, 36, then its South line should be fixed without excess 8, and 8, in only surveys flows of the 8.5, course of no. 43, which would be every selection of the 18.5.

of and analysis to the manufactury of the position of any analysis to the probability or possibility that it was further took at date of original location of this block that you new find it. I believe your construction of river surveys present three termers by their buck lines in possibily the only solution, and asset is soontable with the exception of survey to. 47. 3. 1. 3 rather with the exception of survey to. 47. 3. 1. 3 rather with the exception of survey to. 52 to which you have siven all the except and 5. found between the c. 3. corner no. 47 and S. 3. and 5. found between the fact of any survey to. 47 was intended to these up the excess it and 5. in and clock, and from that view your construction was based to a logical theory; but, by receiving to the Act of 1816; page 104 brought forward in Act. 5296-7 & 8. h. S. 1911, we find thus thus has been appropriated at any, with no fixed or mirked corners on the ground, I am inclined to the coince that survey it. 47 should be held to its field note call for 961 vers in right to held to its field note call for 961 vers in right to according to maid Act.