Paint Rock, Texas, Feb. 28, 1938.

Hon. William H. Me Donald, Com. G.L.O.,

Austin, Texas.

My Dear Sir:

With reference to the Corrected Field Notes for Survey No. 13, Texas Trunk Railway Co. and Survey No. 354, T.F. Benge, in Concho County, Texas, permit me to state that I located the S.W. Corner of the Carl Hagemann Survey No. 1952, and the N.E. Corner of the Francis Schwerdtfeger Survey No. 1951 by first connecting with the N.W.Corner of the Louiza Palitz Survey No. 1742, it being an original Corner as designated in Plat herewith submitted, and ran West for the purpose of locating the extreme North line of that part of the Giddings District No. 3 on the South side of the Hudson District No. 9, both of these districts having been surveyed in the Spring and Summer of the year 1847. I then later connected with N.E. Corner of the Anna Maria Schultz Survey No. 1941, and the N. W. Corner of the H.F. Fishaer and B. Miller Survey No. 2822, - this corner being six miles South of the S.W.Corner of Survey 1952 plus about 30 varas excess to the mile, --- running North, I located the corner in question where the two lines cut each other, - and incidentally I ran to the S.E.Corner of Survey No. 1950 as designated on plat, ------Having established the beginning corner for Survey 354, I extended the East line to reach its call for the S.W.Corner of Survey 1954, which corner is located by running West from its original S.E.Corner which is on the ground as designated on plat. I have extended this line running North to the N.W. Corner of the Heinrich Winkel Survey No. 1968 on the South bank of the Concho River with very little deviation from a straight line. With reference to the location of the S.E.Corner of Section No. 100 which is in the Hudson District No. 9, will say that it was located by running for course and distance from the NW. Corner of the Conrad Meddeleger Survey No. 361 .- it being an original corner of this Survey as designated on Plat, - and this accounts for the spreading of the distance between the two Districts, -Nos., 9 and 3, respectively. 0. 13 I did not locate the dividing line between Survey No. 354 and 13. There was a fence on the ground between these surveys about 30 years ago and remained there for many years, -and I presume that a surveyor intended to divade the excess land between the two surveys, and afterwards Survey 100 was pushed back 23 varas more which gave 13 quite a bit more excess land, - however, at that time, the same man owned both tracts, and in fact he owned several thousand acres East, North, and West of these tracts. So. I accepted the old line of fence as being the division line between Survey N. 354 and Survey No. 13. I have never been able to find any vacancy in this locality, and to my knowledge there is no controversy between owners over boundary lines any where in that locality. If I have not made myself clear, I will be glad to explain any part of work at any time. Respectfully submitted.

MAR 4-1938

REFERRED TO MAP

Sketch File No. 38

Coucle County

Filed June 1934.

Bason Gilecom'r

Cathenie Lischer

File Olerk

Descriptive: Statement Luxuey Mr. 354, J. F. Benze by Sleve F. Jackson